



***central***



**Central Corridor Extension**  
***ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION***

**FEBRUARY 2010**

## Table of Contents

<b>S.0</b>	<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>S-1</b>
S.1	PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED .....	S-3
S.2	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED .....	S-3
S.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES.....	S-6
S.4	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS .....	S-7
S.5	PUBLIC COMMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION .....	S-7
S.6	MITIGATION SUMMARY .....	S-8
<b>1.0</b>	<b>PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED</b> .....	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	PROJECT HISTORY AND BACKGROUND .....	1-2
1.2	PROJECT AREA.....	1-3
1.3	PLANNING CONTEXT.....	1-5
1.3.1	Metro Vision 2030 Plan - DRCOG.....	1-5
1.3.2	FasTracks Plan - RTD .....	1-5
1.3.3	Role of the Environmental Evaluation in the Project Development Process .....	1-6
1.3.4	Decision Framework.....	1-6
1.4	PROJECT PURPOSE .....	1-6
1.5	TRANSPORTATION NEED .....	1-6
1.5.1	Increased Transportation Demands .....	1-6
1.5.2	Limited Transportation Options for Underserved Populations.....	1-6
1.5.3	Completion of the FasTracks Regional Fixed-guideway Transit System .....	1-8
<b>2.0</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED</b> .....	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	ISSUE IDENTIFICATION AND SCREENING OF ALTERNATIVES .....	2-1
2.1.1	Screening Process .....	2-1
2.2	ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS.....	2-2
2.2.1	Transit Alignments.....	2-2
2.2.2	Transit Technologies .....	2-8
2.2.3	Comparative Screening.....	2-15
2.2.4	Alternative Refinement .....	2-19
2.3	DEFINITION OF ALTERNATIVES.....	2-21
2.3.1	No Action Alternative .....	2-21
2.3.2	Preferred Alternative .....	2-21
<b>3.0</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES</b> .....	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	RESOURCES NOT AFFECTED .....	3-4
3.2	SOCIAL IMPACTS AND COMMUNITY FACILITIES .....	3-5
3.2.1	Affected Environment .....	3-5
3.2.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-16
3.2.3	Mitigation .....	3-17
3.3	ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE .....	3-19
3.3.1	Affected Environment .....	3-20
3.3.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-22
3.3.3	Mitigation .....	3-26
3.4	LAND USE AND ZONING.....	3-29
3.4.1	Affected Environment .....	3-29
3.4.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-33
3.4.3	Mitigation .....	3-35

3.5	ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS .....	3-37
3.5.1	Affected Environment .....	3-37
3.5.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-44
3.5.3	Mitigation .....	3-45
3.6	LAND ACQUISITION, DISPLACEMENTS, AND RELOCATION OF EXISTING USES .....	3-47
3.6.1	Affected Environment .....	3-47
3.6.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-47
3.6.3	Mitigation .....	3-48
3.7	HISTORIC RESOURCES .....	3-49
3.7.1	Affected Environment .....	3-49
3.7.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-68
3.7.3	Mitigation .....	3-72
3.8	VISUAL AND AESTHETIC QUALITIES .....	3-73
3.8.1	Affected Environment .....	3-73
3.8.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-75
3.8.3	Mitigation .....	3-77
3.9	ENERGY .....	3-79
3.9.1	Affected Environment .....	3-79
3.9.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-79
3.9.3	Mitigation .....	3-81
3.10	NOISE AND VIBRATION .....	3-83
3.10.1	Transit Noise .....	3-83
3.10.2	Transit Vibration .....	3-96
3.10.3	Mitigation .....	3-102
3.11	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS .....	3-103
3.11.1	Affected Environment .....	3-103
3.11.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-108
3.11.3	Mitigation .....	3-110
3.12	SAFETY AND SECURITY.....	3-113
3.12.1	Affected Environment .....	3-113
3.12.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-115
3.12.3	Mitigation .....	3-116
3.13	UTILITIES.....	3-119
3.13.1	Affected Environment .....	3-119
3.13.2	Environmental Consequences.....	3-119
3.13.3	Mitigation .....	3-121
<b>4.0</b>	<b>TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	EXISTING (2009) CONDITIONS OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS .....	4-1
4.1.1	Roadway Geometry and Features.....	4-1
4.1.2	Traffic Volumes .....	4-3
4.1.3	Results of Analysis .....	4-4
4.2	NO ACTION (2030) ALTERNATIVE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS .....	4-5
4.2.1	Roadway Geometry and Features.....	4-5
4.2.2	Traffic Volumes .....	4-6
4.2.3	Results of Analysis .....	4-7
4.3	PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS .....	4-9
4.3.1	Roadway Geometry and Features.....	4-9
4.3.2	Traffic Volumes .....	4-20
4.3.3	Results of Analysis .....	4-21

- 4.3.4 Transit Travel Times (Reliability) Analysis..... 4-23
- 4.4 TRANSIT SERVICE AND OPERATIONS ..... 4-24
  - 4.4.1 Existing (2009) Conditions Transit Services..... 4-24
  - 4.4.2 No Action (2030) Alternative Transit Services..... 4-34
  - 4.4.3 Preferred Alternative Transit Services..... 4-37
- 4.5 TRANSIT RIDERSHIP ..... 4-40
- 4.6 BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES ..... 4-41
  - 4.6.1 Existing (2009) Conditions Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities ..... 4-42
  - 4.6.2 No Action (2030) Alternative Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities ..... 4-44
  - 4.6.3 Preferred Alternative Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities ..... 4-44
- 4.7 TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION IMPACTS..... 4-47
- 4.8 TRANSPORTATION PLAN COMPATIBILITY ..... 4-47
- 5.0 PUBLIC COMMENT AND AGENCY COORDINATION ..... 5-1**
  - 5.1 ISSUE IDENTIFICATION ..... 5-1
    - 5.1.1 Agency Issue Identification..... 5-1
    - 5.1.2 Community Issue Identification ..... 5-2
  - 5.2 COMMUNITY OUTREACH ..... 5-4
    - 5.2.1 Community Outreach Techniques ..... 5-4
    - 5.2.2 Door-to-Door Outreach ..... 5-4
    - 5.2.3 Block Meetings ..... 5-4
    - 5.2.4 Neighborhood Meetings ..... 5-5
    - 5.2.5 Corridor-wide Meetings ..... 5-5
    - 5.2.6 Working Groups ..... 5-6
    - 5.2.7 Topic-Specific Neighborhood Meetings..... 5-7
    - 5.2.8 Stakeholder Meetings..... 5-8
    - 5.2.9 Additional Communication..... 5-9
  - 5.3 DRAFT AND FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION DOCUMENT REVIEW ... 5-10
  - 5.4 NEXT STEPS ..... 5-11

**List of Figures**

**Summary**

- Figure S-1 Project Area..... S-2
- Figure S-2 Central Corridor Extension Preferred Alternative ..... S-5

**Chapter One, Project Purpose and Need**

- Figure 1-1 Central Corridor Extension in Relation to other FasTracks Corridors ..... 1-1
- Figure 1-2 Project Area..... 1-3
- Figure 1-3 Proposed FasTracks System ..... 1-4
- Figure 1-4 Minority and Low-Income Populations within 300 and 1,000 feet of the Central Corridor Extension ..... 1-7
- Figure 1-5 Percentage of Housing Units Without a Vehicle Available by Neighborhood.... 1-8

**Chapter Two, Alternatives Considered**

- Figure 2-1 Existing Downing Street Typical Section ..... 2-2
- Figure 2-2 Existing Marion Street Typical Section ..... 2-3
- Figure 2-3 Existing Welton Street Typical Section at 29<sup>th</sup> Street ..... 2-3
- Figure 2-4 Double-Track Light Rail on East Side of Downing Street ..... 2-4

Figure 2-5	Double-Track Light Rail on West Side of Downing Street (east side of Downing Street remains in same location) .....	2-4
Figure 2-6	Double-Track Light Rail on West Side of Downing Street (Downing Street is shifted) .....	2-4
Figure 2-7	Single-Track Light Rail on West Side of Downing Street .....	2-5
Figure 2-8	Single-Track Light Rail Pair on West Side of Marion and Downing Streets .....	2-5
Figure 2-9	Central Corridor Extension Light Rail Alignment Alternative .....	2-7
Figure 2-10	Streetcar Five-Lane Typical Section on Downing Street .....	2-9
Figure 2-11	Streetcar Four-Lane Typical Section on Downing Street .....	2-9
Figure 2-12	Streetcar Three-Lane Typical Section on Downing Street .....	2-9
Figure 2-13	Streetcar Three-Lane Typical Section Aerial View .....	2-10
Figure 2-14	Initial Streetcar Alignment from 30th/Downing to 40th/40th .....	2-11
Figure 2-15	Extended Streetcar Alignment from 40th/40th to 20th/Welton .....	2-12
Figure 2-16	Central Corridor Extension Streetcar Alternative with Civic Center Loop.....	2-14
Figure 2-17	Connection between Streetcar Alignment and Civic Center Station .....	2-15
Figure 2-18	Existing Condition: Downing Street at Bruce Randolph Avenue .....	2-18
Figure 2-19	Proposed Condition: Downing Street at Bruce Randolph Avenue (Simulated).....	2-18
Figure 2-20	Proposed Condition: Downing Street at Bruce Randolph Avenue (Simulated).....	2-19
Figure 2-21	Central Corridor Extension Preferred Alternative .....	2-22
Figure 2-22	Central Corridor Extension Alignment (Map 1 of 3).....	2-23
Figure 2-23	Central Corridor Extension Alignment (Map 2 of 3).....	2-24
Figure 2-24	Central Corridor Extension Alignment (Map 3 of 3).....	2-25
Figure 2-25	Central Corridor Extension Proposed Stop at 33rd/Downing .....	2-26
Figure 2-26	Central Corridor Extension Proposed Stop at 35th/Downing .....	2-26

### Chapter Three, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

Figure 3.1-1	Central Corridor Extension Preferred Alternative .....	3-2
Figure 3.2-1	Project Area.....	3-6
Figure 3.2-2	Percentage of Housing Units without a Vehicle Available by Neighborhood...3-10	
Figure 3.2-3	Emergency Service Locations.....	3-14
Figure 3.2-4	Schools, Recreation Centers, Libraries, and Postal Offices.....	3-15
Figure 3.3-1	Minority and Low-Income Populations within 300 and 1,000 Feet and within the Study Area of the Central Corridor Extension .....	3-21
Figure 3.4-1	Existing Zoning.....	3-30
Figure 3.4-2	Existing Land Use .....	3-31
Figure 3.4-3	Historic Land Use Trends.....	3-34
Figure 3.5-1	Denver PMSA Employment from 1990 to 2007.....	3-38
Figure 3.5-2	Annual Unemployment Rate .....	3-39
Figure 3.5-3	Median Household Income (in 1999 Dollars) .....	3-40
Figure 3.5-4	Current (2005) Project Area Employment .....	3-42
Figure 3.5-5	Current (2005) Project Area Population .....	3-43
Figure 3.7-1	Central Corridor Extension Study Area for Historic Resources .....	3-50
Figure 3.8-1	Typical Downing Street Segment .....	3-74
Figure 3.8-2	Typical 36th Street Segment .....	3-74
Figure 3.8-3	Existing Condition: Downing Street at 34th Street.....	3-76
Figure 3.8-4	Proposed Condition: Downing Street at 34th Street (Simulated) .....	3-76
Figure 3.10-1	Typical A-weighted Sound Levels .....	3-83
Figure 3.10-2	FTA Noise Impact Criteria .....	3-85
Figure 3.10-3	Areas Likely to be Impacted by Noise .....	3-87
Figure 3.10-4	Sensitive Noise Receptors along Downing Street.....	3-89
Figure 3.10-5	Typical Levels of Ground-Borne Vibration.....	3-97

Figure 3.10-6	Transit Vibration Sensitive Areas .....	3-99
Figure 3.10-7	Vibration Clusters along Downing Street.....	3-101
Figure 3.11-1	CERCLIS, CERCLIS NFRAP, and NPL Sites .....	3-105
Figure 3.11-2	Small Quantity Generators, CORRACT, Landfill/Solid waste, UST, LUST, and VCUP Sites.....	3-107
Figure 3.12-1	Crime Rates by Area .....	3-114

#### **Chapter Four, Transportation Systems**

Figure 4-1	Downing Street/36th Street Portion of the Project Area .....	4-2
Figure 4-2	Preferred Alternative Traffic Control and Roadway Configuration Sheet 1 of 5.....	4-11
Figure 4-3	Preferred Alternative Traffic Control and Roadway Configuration Sheet 2 of 5.....	4-12
Figure 4-4	Preferred Alternative Traffic Control and Roadway Configuration Sheet 3 of 5.....	4-13
Figure 4-5	Preferred Alternative Traffic Control and Roadway Configuration Sheet 4 of 5.....	4-14
Figure 4-6	Preferred Alternative Traffic Control and Roadway Configuration Sheet 5 of 5.....	4-15
Figure 4-7	Existing (2009) Central Corridor Bus Service.....	4-25
Figure 4-8	Existing (2009) Light Rail Service .....	4-33
Figure 4-9	No Action (2030) FasTracks Transit Network.....	4-35
Figure 4-10	No Action (2030) Bus Service .....	4-36
Figure 4-11	Central Corridor Extension Preferred Alternative .....	4-38
Figure 4-12	Existing Bicycle and Trail Facilities.....	4-43
Figure 4-13	Recommended Changes to the 33rd Avenue Pedestrian/Bicycle Crossing....	4-46

#### **Chapter Five, Public Comment and Agency Coordination**

Figure 5-1	Project Area.....	5-3
------------	-------------------	-----

## List of Tables

### Summary

Table S-1	Preferred Alternative Summary of Impacts and Mitigation .....	S-8
-----------	---	-----

### Chapter One, Project Purpose and Need

There are no tables in this chapter.

### Chapter Two, Alternatives Considered

Table 2-1	Central Corridor Extension Alternative Comparison .....	2-16
Table 2-2	Rail Vehicle Alternative Comparisons .....	2-17

### Chapter Three, Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences

Table 3.2-1	Housing Unit Types .....	3-7
Table 3.2-2	Housing Units and Vacancy Rates by Neighborhood in 2006 .....	3-7
Table 3.2-3	Tenure, Median Rent, and Median Housing Unit Values in 2000 .....	3-8
Table 3.2-4	Total Population .....	3-9
Table 3.2-5	Neighborhood Statistics .....	3-12
Table 3.2-6	Social Conditions and Community Facilities Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-17
Table 3.3-1	Existing Minority, Low-Income, and Zero-Vehicle Populations by Neighborhood Preferred Alternative .....	3-24
Table 3.3-2	Environmental Justice Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-26
Table 3.4-1	Summary of Future Land Use Trends .....	3-32
Table 3.4-2	Central Corridor Historic Land Use .....	3-35
Table 3.4-3	Land-Use and Zoning Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-35
Table 3.5-1	2007 Employment and Earnings by Industry Sector .....	3-37
Table 3.5-2	Existing (2005) Local Employment .....	3-40
Table 3.5-3	Existing (2005) Local Housing Balance .....	3-41
Table 3.5-4	Direct and Indirect Economic Benefits from Preferred Alternative Construction .....	3-44
Table 3.5-5	Economic Conditions Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-46
Table 3.6-1	Impacts and Mitigation Related to Land Acquisitions, Displacements, and Relocations of Existing Uses Associated with the Preferred Alternative .....	3-48
Table 3.7-1	Historic Resources in the Study Area .....	3-51
Table 3.7-2	Summary of Historic Resource Impacts .....	3-68
Table 3.7-3	Historic Resources Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-72
Table 3.8-1	Visual and Aesthetic Qualities Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-77
Table 3.9-1	Direct and Indirect Energy Impacts (2030) .....	3-80
Table 3.9-2	Energy Consumption Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-81
Table 3.10-1	FTA Land Use Categories and Noise Descriptors .....	3-84
Table 3.10-2	Noise Impacts .....	3-91
Table 3.10-3	Noise Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-95
Table 3.10-4	Screening Distances for Vibration Assessment .....	3-98

Table 3.10-5	Ground-Bourne Vibration Criteria .....	3-98
Table 3.10-6	Vibration Impacts along Downing Street .....	3-100
Table 3.10-7	Vibration Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-102
Table 3.11-1	Environmental Records Search Distances .....	3-103
Table 3.11-2	Central Corridor Extension Areas of Concern .....	3-109
Table 3.11-3	Hazardous Materials Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-110
Table 3.12-1	Crimes (total #) Compared to Population .....	3-113
Table 3.12-2	Existing Welton Street Station Crime Data .....	3-115
Table 3.12-3	Summary of Onboard Crime on RTD's Existing Light Rail System .....	3-115
Table 3.12-4	Security Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-117
Table 3.13-1	Utilities Impacts and Mitigation Related to the Preferred Alternative .....	3-121

#### **Chapter Four, Transportation Systems**

Table 4-1	Existing (2009) Intersection Traffic Control .....	4-3
Table 4-2	Existing (2009) Average Daily Traffic Volumes on Downing Street .....	4-4
Table 4-3	Existing (2009) Intersection LOS and Delay .....	4-5
Table 4-4	No Action (2030) Intersection Traffic Control .....	4-6
Table 4-5	Comparison of Existing (2009) to No Action (2030) Average Daily Traffic Volumes on Downing Street .....	4-7
Table 4-6	Comparison of Existing (2009) to No Action (2030) Intersection LOS and Delay .....	4-8
Table 4-7	Restrictions to Vehicle Movements at Intersections on Downing Street .....	4-18
Table 4-8	Comparison of No Action (2030) to Preferred Alternative Intersection LOS and Delay .....	4-23
Table 4-9	Preferred Alternative Travel Times for the In-Traffic Rail Transit .....	4-25
Table 4-10	Route 7 Service Characteristics .....	4-27
Table 4-11	Route 24 Service Characteristics .....	4-27
Table 4-12	Route 28 Service Characteristics .....	4-28
Table 4-13	Route 38 Service Characteristics .....	4-28
Table 4-14	Route 43 Service Characteristics .....	4-29
Table 4-15	Route 44 Service Characteristics .....	4-29
Table 4-16	Route 48 Service Characteristics .....	4-30
Table 4-17	Route 47X Service Characteristics .....	4-30
Table 4-18	Route 48X Service Characteristics .....	4-31
Table 4-19	Route R/RC/Rx Service Characteristics .....	4-31
Table 4-20	Route AF Service Characteristics .....	4-32
Table 4-21	Existing (2009) Light Rail Service Characteristics .....	4-33
Table 4-22	Comparison of Existing (2009) to No Action (2030) Bus Service .....	4-38
Table 4-23	Central Corridor Bus Service Connections .....	4-40
Table 4-24	Central Corridor Extension Operations .....	4-41
Table 4-25	2030 Projected Central Corridor Extension Ridership .....	4-41
Table 4-26	Local and Regional Plan Compatibility .....	4-49

#### **Chapter Five, Public Comment and Agency Coordination**

Table 5-1	Project Agency Coordination Committees .....	5-1
Table 5-2	Central Corridor/Downing Street Extension Neighborhood Meetings .....	5-5
Table 5-3	Corridor-Wide Meetings .....	5-6
Table 5-4	Working Groups .....	5-7
Table 5-5	Topic-Specific Neighborhood Meetings .....	5-7

## **Appendices**

Appendix A – Response to Agency and Public Comments on the Draft Central Corridor Extension Environmental Evaluation

Appendix B – Programmatic Cumulative Effects Analysis (PCEA) – Provided on CD

## List of Acronyms

<b>A</b>		<b>E</b>	
AQCC	Air Quality Compliance Committee	EE	Environmental Evaluation
AMI	Area median income	EIS	Environmental impact statement
AMI30	30 percent area median income	EJCC	Environmental Justice Compliance Committee
APE	Area of potential effect	EMU	Electric multiple unit
ASTM	American Society of Testing and Materials	EOC	Executive Oversight Committee
<b>B</b>		EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
BMP	Best management practices	<b>F</b>	
BRT	Bus rapid transit	FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
BTU	British thermal unit	FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
<b>C</b>		FTA	Federal Transit Administration
CCD	City and County of Denver	<b>G</b>	
CDLE-OPS	Colorado Department of Labor and Employment Oil and Public Safety	<b>H</b>	
CDOT	Colorado Department of Transportation	HUD	Housing and Urban Development
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment	<b>I</b>	
CEQ	Council of Environmental Quality	ICCC	Intergovernmental Coordination and Compliance Committee
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	<b>J</b>	
CERCLIS	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Information System	<b>K</b>	
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	<b>L</b>	
CORRACTS	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Action List	Leq	Equivalent sound level
CRS	Colorado Revised Statutes	Ldn	Day-night sound level
<b>D</b>		LoDo	Lower downtown
dB	Decibels	LOS	Level of service
dBA	Decibel (A-weighted scale)	LRT	Light rail transit
DIA	Denver International Airport	LUST	Leaking underground storage tanks
DRCOG	Denver Regional Council of Governments	<b>M</b>	
DUS	Denver Union Station	Mph	Miles per hour
		MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems
		MSAT	Mobile source air toxics

<b>N</b>		<b>S</b>	
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act	Sec/veh	Seconds per vehicle
NFRAP	No further remedial action planned	SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
NPL	National Priorities List	SQG	small quantity generator
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places	<b>T</b>	
<b>O</b>		TOD	Transit-oriented development
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration	<b>U</b>	
<b>P</b>		UDFCD	Urban Drainage and Flood Control District
Pb	Lead	Uniform Act	Uniform Relocation Act Amendments of 1987
PCEA	Programmatic Cumulative Effects Analysis	UPRR	Union Pacific Railroad
PM <sub>10</sub>	Particulate matter having a diameter less than or equal to 10 micrometers	U.S.	United States
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Particulate matter having a diameter less than or equal to 2.5 micrometers	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
PMC	Project Management Committee	USC	United States Code
PMSA	Primary metropolitan statistical area	USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
Ppm	Parts per million	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
<b>Q</b>		UST	Underground storage tank
<b>R</b>		<b>V</b>	
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	VCUP	Colorado Voluntary Cleanup
ROW	Right of way	VdB	vibration velocity levels in decibels
RTD	Regional Transportation District	VOC	Volatile organic compounds
		<b>W</b>	
		<b>X</b>	
		<b>Y</b>	
		<b>Z</b>	

## References

- American Society for Testing and Materials. (n.d.). Standard practice for environmental site assessments: Phase I environmental site assessment process. Standard E 1527-00.
- Bureau of Labor Statistics, U.S Department of Labor. (2004). Consumer price index. Retrieved from <http://www.bls.gov/cpi/home.htm>
- Cervero, D. (1996). Mixed land uses and commuting: Evidence from the American housing survey. *Transportation Research A*, 30(5), 361-377.
- Cervero, D. & Duncan, M. (2002). Transit's value-added effects: Light and commuter rail services and commercial land values. *Transportation Research Record*, 1805, 8-15.
- City and County of Denver. (2000). *Comprehensive Plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2001). *Curtis Park/Five Points two-way conversion study*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2002a). *Blueprint Denver: An integrated land use and transportation plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2002b). *Denver bicycle master plan update 2001*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2002c). *40th Avenue corridor infrastructure improvement study*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2004). *City and County of Denver pedestrian master plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2005). *Downtown multi-modal access plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2006a). *Greenprint Denver*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2006b). *Transit-oriented development strategic plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2008). *Denver strategic transportation plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver. (2009). *38th & Blake station area plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver, Colorado Department of Transportation, Denver Regional Council of Governments & Regional Transportation District. (2004). *Denver Union Station master plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (1987). *Curtis Park neighborhood plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (1989). *Swansea/Elyria charrette report*. Denver: Author.

- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (1998). *Cole planning report*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (2000). *Whittier neighborhood plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (2001). *Northeast downtown plan*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (2003a). *Elyria/Swansea neighborhood assessment*. Denver: Author.
- City and County of Denver Community Planning and Development Office. (2003b). *River North plan*. Denver: Author.
- Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, 42 USC § 103.
- Council on Environmental Quality. (1978, November 29). Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act, 40 CFR §1500–1508. Executive Office of the President: 43 CFR § 55978–56007.
- Council on Environmental Quality. (1997). *Council on Environmental Quality environmental justice guidance under the National Environmental Policy Act*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Denver Health Paramedic Division. (2005) About Denver Health Paramedic Division (DHPD). Retrieved April 11, 2005, from <http://www.denverems.org/about.html>
- Denver Public Library. (2008). Library facts & figures. Retrieved from <http://denverlibrary.org/about/press/facts.html>
- Denver Public Schools. (2008). *Facts & figures*. Retrieved from <http://www.dpsk12.org/aboutdps/facts/>
- Denver Regional Council of Governments. (2000). *Metro vision 2020*. Denver: Author.
- Denver Regional Council of Governments. (2005a). *DRCOG 2030 metro vision regional transportation plan*. Denver: Author.
- Denver Regional Council of Governments. (2005b). *Metro vision 2030 plan*. Denver: Author.
- Downtown Denver Partnership. (2003). Neighborhood: Five Points. Retrieved July 29, 2004, from <http://www.downtowndenver.com/housing/fivepoints.htm>
- Federal Transit Administration. (2005). Rail Fixed Guideway Systems; State Safety Oversight; Final Rule, 49 CFR § 659, 4 CCR 723-14.
- Federal Transit Administration. (2006). *Transit noise and vibration impact assessment*. Washington, D.C.: Author.

- Fehr & Peers Associates, Inc. (2001). *Curtis Park/Five Points one-way to two-way conversion study*. Denver: Author.
- Greenprint Denver Advisory Council. (2007). *City of Denver climate action plan: Final recommendations to Mayor Hickenlooper*. Denver: Author.
- Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965, 16 USC 460.4–660.11 (1965).
- National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Pub. L. No. 91-190, 83 Stat. 852, 42 USC § 4321–4327 (1996).
- National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, Pub. L. No. 89-665, 80 Stat. 915 (1966).
- Native American Graves Protection Act of 1979, as amended, 16 USC §470a (1979).
- Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act of 1990, 25 USC §3001 et seq., Pub. L. No. 101-601 (1990).
- The Piton Foundation. (2004). Neighborhood facts technical documentation: Neighborhood technical and source notes. Retrieved April 11, 2005, from <http://www.piton.org>
- The Piton Foundation. (2005). Neighborhood assets. Retrieved April 11, 2005, from <http://www.piton.org>
- Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties, 36 CFR § 800 et. seq. (2004).
- Regional Transportation District. (2001). *North metro major investment study*. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2004). *FasTracks plan*. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2005). *Light rail design criteria*. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2006a). *FasTracks environmental policies and procedures*. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2006b). *Final SE Corridor (T-REX) service plan*. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2007). *Programmatic cumulative effects analysis (PCEA)*. Version 1. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2008). *FasTracks environmental methodologies manual*. Denver: Author.
- Regional Transportation District. (2009). *East Corridor transit operations plan for the DEIS*. Denver: Author.
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 42 USC § 6901 et. seq. (1976).
- Satisfi. (2006a). Environmental Database Search for RTD FasTracks - East Corridor Segment 1. Report #S100551.

- Satisfi. (2006b). Environmental Database Search for RTD FasTracks - East Corridor Segment 2. Report #S100552.
- Satisfi. (2006c). Environmental Database Search for RTD FasTracks - East Corridor Segment 3. Report #S100553.
- Satisfi. (2006d). Environmental Database Search for RTD FasTracks - East Corridor Segment 4. Report #S100569.
- Transportation Research Board. (2000). *Highway capacity manual*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy of Sciences.
- Uniform Relocation Act Amendments of 1987, Pub. L. No. 100-17 (1987).
- Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, Pub. L. No. 91-646, 42 U.S.C. 4601, et. seq. (1970).
- U.S. Census Bureau. (1992). *1990 Census of population and housing*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2002a). *2000 Census of population and housing*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2002b). *Census of population and housing: Summary file 3*. Washington, D. C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration.
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2007). *1990 Census of population and housing*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration.
- U.S. Department of Energy. (2008). *Transportation energy data book*. (27th ed.). Washington, D.C.: Author.
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2004). FY 2004 income limits. Retrieved from <http://www.huduser.org/datasets/il/IL04/index.html>
- U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service. (1990). How to apply to the National Register criteria for evaluation, National Register bulletin. (R. Shrimpton, Ed.). Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office.
- U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966, Pub. L. No. 89-670, 80 Stat. 934, § 4(f), 23 USC § 138 (1966).
- U.S. Department of Transportation. (1997, April 15). U.S. Department of Transportation order on environmental justice. Order No. 5610.2.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (1998). Superfund response process. EPA 540-R-98-029. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.
- Weinberger, R. (2000). Commercial property values and proximity to light rail: Calculating benefits with a hedonic price model. Paper presented at the 79th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C.

Weinstein, B & Clower, T. (1999). *TCRP legal research digest 12: The initial economic impacts of the DART LRT system*. Washington, D.C.: Transportation Research Board of the National Academies.